



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

H.M.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,733	07/27/2001	Ruth Dammeri	5848.165USWO	9852

23552 7590 05/30/2003

MERCHANT & GOULD PC
P.O. BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

MAYO III, WILLIAM H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2831

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/857,733

Applicant(s)

DAMMERI ET AL. 

Examiner

William H. Mayo III

Art Unit

2831

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawing is objected to because Figure 1 lacks the proper cross-hatching which indicates the type of materials, which may be in an invention. Specifically, the cross hatching to indicate the insulation and conductor materials is improper. The applicant should refer to MPEP Section 608.02 for the proper cross-hatching of materials. Correction is required.
2. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 2831

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Own Admission of Prior Art (herein referred to as AOAPA) in view of Dammert et al (WO Pat Num 95/17463, herein referred to as Dammert). AOAPA discloses under the heading "Technical Background" electric power cables for medium and high voltage cables (see Pages 1-4 of specification). Specifically, AOAPA discloses that medium to high voltage cables are known to comprise an electrical conductor surrounded in order by an inner semi-conducting layer, an insulating layer, and an outer semi-conducting layer (Page 1, lines 9-16), wherein the insulating layer is typically of more than 2mm thick (Page 4, lines 4-10), and comprises a cross linked polymer of a composition that comprises a cross linkable polymer (i.e. ethylene polymers, Page 3, lines 8-10) with a hydrolysable silane group and a silanol condensation catalyst (Page 3, lines 10-18), such as dibutyl tin diaurate (DBTDL, Page 3, lines 19-23). With respect to claim 2, AOAPA discloses that a typical insulating layer will have a thickness of more than 5mm (Page 4, lines 7-10). With respect to claim 9, AOAPA discloses a method of preparing the typical medium and high voltage power cable, wherein a conductor is surrounded in order an inner semi-conducting layer, an insulating layer comprising a cross linkable polymer (ethylene polymer) with a hydrolysable silane groups (DBTDL) and an outer

Art Unit: 2831

semiconducting layer to form the cable (Pages 1 & 3, lines 9-16 & 8-23), in the presence of steam (Page 3, lines 12-15). With respect to claim 9, AOAPA discloses that the presence of steam is capable of being at superatmospheric pressure (i.e. extrusion pressure). With respect to claims 11-12, AOAPA discloses that the cross linking is capable of being carried out at a pressure of 0.2-2.5 Mpa or 0.8-1.2 Mpa (i.e. extrusion pressures). With respect to claim 10, AOAPA discloses that crosslinking is commonly done in a vulcanizing tube (Page 2, lines 14-25). With respect to claim 13, AOAPA discloses that crosslinking is carried out in the presence of saturated steam (Page 3, 12-15).

However, AOAPA doesn't disclose the silanol condensation catalyst being of formula I, ArSO_3H , or a precursor thereof, Ar being a benzene ring substituted with at least one hydrocarbyl radical such that the total number of carbon atoms of the hydrocarbyl radical is 8-20, or a naphthalene ring substituted with at least one hydrocarbyl radical such that the total number of carbon atoms of the hydrocarbyl radical is 4-18, and the catalyst of formula I contains 14-28 carbon atoms in total (claim 1), nor the composition being hydrophobic (claim 3), nor the hydrophilic group being selected from siloxane, amide, anhydride, carboxylic, carbonyl, hydroxyl, and ester groups (claim 4), nor the crystalline part of the polymer being at most 60% by weight (claim 5), nor the hydrocarbyl radical in formula I being an alkyl substituent with 10-18 carbon atoms (claim 6), nor the alkyl substituent having 12 carbon atoms and selected from dodecyl and tetrapropyl (claim 7), nor the polymer composition including 0.0007-3% by weight of silanol condensation catalyst (claim 8).

Dammert teaches cross linkable polymer composition containing a crosslinkable polymer with hydrolysable silane groups and at least one silanol condensation catalyst that reduces or obviates the drawbacks of prior art catalysts, such as DBTDL, that give poor performance at normal temperatures and do not require the presence of water baths or steam cabinets, during the crosslinking production of cable insulations (Pages 2-3, lines 34-37 & 1-5). Specifically, with respect to claim 1, Dammert teaches a cross linkable polymer composition containing a hydrolysable silane group and at least one the silanol condensation catalyst being of formula I, ArSO_3H , or a precursor thereof, Ar being a benzene ring substituted with at least one hydrocarbyl radical such that the total number of carbon atoms of the hydrocarbyl radical is 8-20 (Page 3, lines 25-37), and the catalyst of formula I contains 14-28 carbon atoms in total (Col 4, lines 19-24). With respect to claim 3, Dammert teaches that the typical composition contains a cross linkable polymer (ethylene) that includes carboxylics (Page 5, lines 21-28), which inherently makes the composition hydrophilic. With respect to claim 4, Dammert teaches that the typical composition contains a cross linkable polymer (ethylene) that includes carboxylics (Page 5, lines 21-28), which inherently makes the composition hydrophilic. With respect to claim 5, Dammert teaches that the cross-linkable polymer composition comprises a crystalline part of the polymer that may be at most 60% by weight (i.e. up to 60, Page 8, lines 12-15). With respect to claim 6, Dammert teaches that the hydrocarbyl radical in formula I may be an alkyl substituent with 10-18 carbon atoms (i.e. 12, Page 4, lines 19-24). With respect to claim 7, Dammert teaches that the alkyl substituent has 12 carbon atoms (Page 6, lines 30-31) and may be selected from

Art Unit: 2831

dodecyl and tetrapropyl (Page 6, lines 24-25). With respect to claim 8, Dammert teaches that the polymer composition includes 0.0007-3% by weight of silanol condensation catalyst (Page 5, lines 3-9).

With respect to claims 1 & 3-8, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of cables at the time the invention was made to modify the insulating layer of AOAPA to comprise the polymeric component configuration as taught by Dammert because Dammert teaches that such a composition configuration reduces or obviates the drawbacks of prior art catalysts, such as DBTDL, which give poor performance at normal temperatures and does not require the presence of water baths or steam cabinets, during the crosslinking production of cable insulations (Pages 2-3, lines 34-37 & 1-5).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Based on the new rejection, this action is non-final

Communication


8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Mayo III whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 2831

306-9061. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-6:00 pm (alternate Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308-3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



WHM III
May 28, 2003